

Drawing Aside the Purple Curtain
The Papal System Today: an Analysis of the News

“Mother” Teresa a Saint? **Neither in Life Nor in Death**

by Shaun Willcock



Known and Admired Worldwide – by the World

The woman known to the world as “Mother Teresa” was one of the most instantly recognisable human beings on the face of the earth.

She was born in 1910 and died in 1997. She became a Roman Catholic nun, and went on to become the founder of an order of nuns (with some priests and monks in it) known as the Missionaries of Charity. Beginning in Calcutta, it spread to over 130 countries, caring for the sick and the very poor.

She “so captivated the world that she was showered with honorary degrees and other awards, almost universally praised by the media and sought out by popes, presidents, philanthropists and other figures of wealth and influence.”¹ This one sentence alone (from a Roman Catholic newspaper) speaks volumes. For what did the Lord Jesus warn us about? “Woe unto you, when all men shall speak well of you! for so did their fathers to the false prophets” (Lk. 6:26). All the world speaks well of “Mother” Teresa – that alone is enough of a warning that this woman did not truly know the Lord. She “captivated the world” – but Christ was hated by the world, and He said to His true disciples, “And ye shall be hated of all men for my name’s sake” (Matt. 10:22). She “was showered with honorary degrees and other awards” – but the Lord’s true servants, instead of being honoured, are despised (1 Cor. 4:10), and are “made as the filth of the world, and are the offscouring of all things” (1 Cor. 4:13). She was “almost universally praised by the media” – but Jesus said to His true saints, “If ye were of

the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you” (Jn. 15:19). She was “sought out by” the powerful, the wealthy and the influential of the earth – but the Bible declares that very few from these classes of men are ever numbered among the followers of the Lord Jesus (1 Cor. 1:26-29); most hate the Lord and His people, and persecute them (Psa. 2:2,3).

A priest and member of her Missionaries of Charity had this to say of her: “Because she was one of the most admired women of the 20th century – not just in the Church – not since St. Francis of Assisi has someone had that echo outside the Church.”² “One of the most admired women of the 20th century”: when Teresa died in 1997, this is what I wrote in *The Bible Based Ministries Magazine*: “The famous Papist nun, the so-called ‘Mother’ Teresa, died recently, and her death, like so many events in her life, made world headlines. It is safe to say that she will go down in history as one of the three greatest supposed ‘Christians’ of the twentieth century, along with the Roman pope, John Paul II, and Billy Graham. And yet John Paul II is the very Antichrist himself, as each pope is, the ‘man of sin’ and ‘son of perdition’ (2 Thess. 2:3); Billy Graham is a pope-praising, ecumenical enthusiast whose doctrine and conduct reveals him to be a servant of the Papal Antichrist, not of Christ;³ and Teresa, far from being a Christian as the world thinks, was an idol-worshipping heathen, a faithful servant of the satanic Babylonian religion dressed up in ‘Christian’ terminology, a complete stranger to the grace of God in the Gospel.”⁴

A Roman Catholic journalist stated: “19 years after [her] death, her name remains short-hand for a model of self-sacrifice.... One might say, ‘She’s such a Mother Teresa’, when applauding a friend or relative.... [she] has no less global awareness than Coca Cola or McDonald’s.”⁵ It is true: people the world over will say things like, “That person is a Mother Teresa”; she has become the standard by which the world measures what it considers holiness or self-sacrifice or love. And this is a tragedy! The world does not point to Christ and speak this way. It does not even point to some of the Lord’s faithful servants through the ages, true Christians who lived holy and self-sacrificing lives unto the glory of God. No, the world points to a Roman Catholic nun. Let no true Christian be found guilty of doing this! This poor benighted woman was no true example of Christ-likeness. She was a stranger to Him.

The Jesuit Connection

The Jesuits pop up in all kinds of places. And they lurked in the shadows behind Teresa. When she was still a teenager, she was inspired to work in India by reports sent home by Yugoslavian Jesuit missionaries in Bengal. This early exposure to what she would have considered great work by the Jesuits would have created a love for the Jesuits which clearly continued all her life, for when she lay very ill and on oxygen just months before her death, her “spiritual advisor” was a Jesuit priest.⁶

The Jesuit Order has been very active in India, and is adept at taking elements from Hinduism and “catholicising” them. Doubtless the sons of Loyola would have kept their guiding hands on the shoulders of this faithful Roman Catholic nun throughout her life, whispering into her ear what she should say, what she should do and how she should do it.

The Arrogance of the Pope at the Canonisation

With the following arrogant, hideously blasphemous words, Francis I declared Teresa to be a “saint”: “We declare and define Blessed Teresa of Calcutta to be a saint and we enroll her among the saints.”⁷ We enroll her among the saints? It is God alone who makes a person a saint – and he does it while they are on earth, not after they die! It is too late then. One has to be a saint in this life, *in order to go* to heaven: Paul the apostle, writing to the true Christian church in Rome in the first century, addressed himself “to all that be in Rome, beloved of God, called to be saints” (Rom. 1:7); and likewise he addressed the Christians in Corinth when he wrote, “Unto the church of God which is at Corinth, to them that are sanctified in Christ Jesus, called to be saints” (1 Cor. 1:2). Biblically, every

living Christian is a saint of God, now, in this life! It is the Lord who calls His elect to be His saints – which makes it supremely arrogant and blasphemous of the Roman pope to haughtily “declare and define” that Teresa is a saint, and to “enroll her among the saints”! He does not have this power, for it is a divine power. Who does this man think he is, to stand there uttering such statements? Well, we know of course who he thinks he is. But we know what God’s Word really calls him.



The Path to Papist “Sainthood”

The “Church” of Rome undertakes an examination of any candidate for “sainthood”. And once Rome decides that a dead person is in fact a “saint”, canonisation follows. What is “canonisation”? It is a public, formal act of the pope of Rome, and by this act the “Church” of Rome attests to the “sanctity” of the dead person. At the ceremony, the pope solemnly declares the candidate’s name to be included in Rome’s list of “saints”.

To become a “saint” in the false Papist sense, it is necessary for two “miracles” to be attributed to the person’s intercession with God after their death. For Rome claims they are supposedly able to hear and answer the prayers of Papists on earth!

Immediately after her death the Roman Catholic institution began the process of “beatification”. This is the first step on the road to Papist “sainthood”. She was “beatified” by John Paul II in 2002, following the Vatican’s recognition of a supposed miracle of healing through her “intercession”. The recipient of this “miracle” was an Indian woman who, we are told, suffered from a tumor in her abdomen. Of course, in such cases it is a simple matter for Rome to produce doctors willing to say that a “miracle” had occurred, even though there are a number of other explanations.

A second “miracle” was needed for Teresa to be declared a “saint”, and this was the supposed miraculous healing of a Brazilian man in 2008, who had multiple brain abscesses, and who, it is claimed, was cured within a day of being in a coma.

1500 Impoverished People at the Canonisation Ceremony

The canonisation ceremony in Saint Peter’s Square in Vatican City was attended by some 100 000 people. Always with an eye to good publicity, the organisers placed some of Teresa’s Missionaries of Charity nuns in the front rows, accompanied by around 1500 impoverished men and women.⁸ But pause for a moment and think about this: *why* were they still impoverished? The palace of the Roman

pope brought these people there, and gave them front-row seats. They were hosted in the Vatican, the headquarters of the wealthiest religious system on the face of the earth! With well over a billion members, it would have been a drop in the ocean for the Vatican to have instantly changed their state from one of poverty to sufficiency! One glittering ring off a cardinal's fat finger could do wonders for impoverished people. The money saved by cutting back on just one wine-drenched, sumptuous meal for some bloated "prince of the Church" would do more for the impoverished than giving them front-row seats at the devilish delusion of turning Teresa into a "saint".

After the ceremony, Francis invited these 1500 homeless people for pizza.⁹ Pizza! One meal, provided by the richest organisation the world has ever seen. Oh how generous!

And Now the Dead Teresa Will Supposedly Assist the Living!

This is antichristian necromancy at its worst.¹⁰ Francis said at Teresa's canonisation: "May this tireless worker of mercy help us to increasingly understand that our only criterion for action is gratuitous love".¹¹ How exactly will she "help" people to do this? *She is dead!* The dead do not help the living! Ah, but Rome teaches that they do – for now that Francis has so magnanimously "enrolled her among the saints", Roman Catholics may pray to her, ask for her intercession with God, beg for help from her, etc. In the Bible this is called necromancy: attempting to contact the dead (Deut. 18:11; 1 Sam. 28). It is sinful. And it is impossible. It is paganism. But Roman Catholics are permitted to do it, contrary to the very Word of the Lord!



Her Interfaith Mission

Her biographer, priest Lush Gjergji, said something very revealing: "Mother Teresa is a rare and unique phenomenon in the history of humanity. She is not only a saint for Christians [i.e. Roman Catholics] but, in a certain sense, also for atheists, unbelievers, Hindus and Muslims."¹² This sums her up. She was an interfaith ambassador for Rome in this age of interfaith and syncretistic policy. She did wonders for the image of Roman Catholicism in the world. Not being a true Christian, she had no true Gospel to share. Instead, she prayed her Romish prayers for the people who came under her care, and even encouraged them to die in their own false religions.

When she was “canonised” in the Vatican, it was not only Roman Catholics who attended the ceremony: many people of other religions came as well. A group of Indian Sikhs attended, and one of them said, “We actually came here to see Mother Teresa’s special occasion here and we are all associated to Mother Teresa. Not just myself, all the people, billions of people who are in India. Everybody knows Mother Teresa. She’s done so much work in Calcutta and the work has been carried over in many, many nations – everybody knows her.”¹³

Yes, everybody knows her, and people of all religions love her, because of her works for the poor, etc. But they have no love for the Lord and Saviour. Although she *claimed* to be a “Christian”, she was anything but a true disciple of Christ. And the multitudes belonging to other religions were in no sense drawn to Christ by either her words or her deeds! The Sikh group admitted it openly, saying that honouring her had nothing to do with her Roman Catholic religion, but rather her push for peace in the world by reaching out to every person in the streets. One of them said, “My religion is Sikh and we want to work with all religions, each other, and we want peace in the world. That’s my hope.” This is Teresa’s real legacy: all religions seeking common ground and working for peace in the world. But this was not the mission of the Lord Jesus Christ, nor that of His disciples; and it is not the mission of any true Christian in the world today.

The current Superior General of the Missionaries of Charity, nun Mary Prema Pierick, said in early September, prior to Teresa’s canonisation, that people of various religions are drawn to her example. “India has a love and great respect for Mother. Everyone saw the sanctity, even in their own religious concept.”¹⁴ In saying this she showed that it was not Teresa’s intention to turn people from their own religions. And as for India loving and respecting her, this is doubtless true – yet this same India persecutes the true followers of Christ, even today! India’s Hindu government passes anti-Christian legislation, yet “loves and respects” this Roman Catholic nun. Proof positive that the religion of Teresa was *not* biblical Christianity.

As she worked so much in India, it is not surprising that those of the Hindu religion loved her. But those of other religions did so as well. In her home country, the government of Kosovo prepared a concert of classical music in her honour on the eve of her canonisation. The concert was held in a Roman Catholic basilica, and was called “Hymn to Mother Teresa”; and almost all the players in the orchestra were Muslims, “who offered themselves gladly to play in her honor, in keeping with her spirit of peace and her universal message.”¹⁵

Nun Pierick stated, “Mother Teresa has been an icon of unity, tolerance, acceptance, and of loving each person.”¹⁶ She claimed (falsely) to follow Jesus – yet this was *not His* mission on the earth! This is what He said of unity: “Neither pray I for these alone, but for them also which shall believe on me through their word; that they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us: that the world may believe that thou hast sent me” (Jn. 17:20,21). The unity Christ prayed for (and His prayer has been answered through the centuries) is the *unity between true believers*, and no one else! The unity of those who believe in Him! Nowhere did He ever pray for spiritual “unity” between Christians and Hindus, Christians and Muslims, Christians and Buddhists. This is the world’s idea of unity, and it is a lie. The world hated Christ when He was on earth, and it hates Him now; but it loves Teresa, an “icon for unity” in the world’s sense of the word.

She was also an “icon of tolerance”, her successor said. Again, she may have been – but the Lord Jesus Christ was not. He said things like: “Think not that I am come to send peace on earth: I came not to send peace, but a sword. For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against her mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law. And a man’s foes shall be they of his own household” (Matt. 10:34-36). And: “I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me” (Jn. 14:6). To the world, the most “intolerant” statement of all. He had no time for the world’s devilish religions.

Her False “Gospel” and Her Lost State

Teresa was a faithful Papist. In the words of nun Mary Prema Pierick, Superior General of the Missionaries of Charity order, “She never did anything to lead others to herself, but only to Jesus and Mary.”¹⁷ *Jesus and Mary*: for Roman Catholics there is always an “and”. It is never Jesus alone. It is always “Jesus and”. Roman Catholic teaching is that Mary is “co-redeemer” with Christ; “mediatrix”. And Teresa believed this with all her heart. She believed that Mary plays a part in the salvation of souls. She trusted her soul to Mary. She prayed to Mary. She loved Mary.

She also loved the blasphemous Roman Catholic mass. Nun Pierick said that going to mass always empowered Teresa. And no wonder: for in the mass, Roman Catholics are taught that they actually “receive Christ” by eating His real body and drinking His real blood! *This* is what “receiving Christ” means to a faithful Roman Catholic. It is a physical receiving of Him, not a spiritual receiving of Him by faith.

At the canonisation ceremony Francis said: “Mother Teresa, in all aspects of her life, was a generous dispenser of divine mercy, making herself available for everyone through her welcome and defence of human life, those unborn and those abandoned and discarded. She was committed to defending life, ceaselessly proclaiming that ‘the unborn are the weakest, the smallest, the most vulnerable.’” He went on: “She bowed down before those who were spent, left to die on the side of the road, seeing in them their God-given dignity”.¹⁸

Yes, there is no doubt at all that Teresa did many merciful works for the poor, the outcast, the downtrodden, the unborn, the suffering. And of course, for those at the receiving end of her charitable works, these were of great physical benefit. But there is a world of difference between “good works” and “dead works”. The Bible says that even “the plowing of the wicked, is sin” (Prov. 21:4). Yes, many may benefit, physically, from the food produced by the wicked; but in the sight of God all his works are sinful, for *he* is sinful, and sins in all he does – even in such deeds as are good in themselves. When a convicted criminal grows vegetables in a prison garden, which are used to feed the hungry, he remains a rebel against the government and the law of the land. A rebel against God may do many things which benefit other people physically, but because he is a rebel against God, his works are nothing but *dead* works in God’s sight. We are *not saved* by our works. We are saved solely by the grace of God, through faith in Jesus Christ (Eph. 2:8-10); and *once* we are saved, good works will be produced – not in order to earn our salvation, but because we are already saved, and out of love for God we want to live lives that are pleasing to Him. Thus works are to faith what the fruit is to the tree: the tree is known by the fruit it produces, and saving faith is known by the works it produces (Eph. 2:8-10).

To make salvation by works is to put the cart before the horse. Tragically for her eternal soul, this is what Teresa did. As a faithful Roman Catholic, she was taught to believe that she could earn salvation by her own “good works”. But because she was still “dead in trespasses and sins” (Eph. 2:1), her works were “dead works” (Heb. 6:1; 9:14). Dead works are works performed without true faith in Christ; works performed by one still dead in sins; and works which, if depended upon for eternal life, will issue in eternal death.

Millions of people speak of Teresa as having been a “great Christian” because of her works of mercy. But there is a vast gulf between works done in order to earn one’s own salvation, and works done because one is already saved by grace through faith, loves God and wants to please Him. Teresa’s works were of the former kind. She did all that she did in order to try to earn eternal salvation. This is a demonic deception, and multitudes are in hell because of it.

Besides, a true Christian is known by his fruit (Matt. 7:20-23). Yet when we look at Teresa’s conduct, we find many dishonest and sinful practices! “Along with other dubious characters she has

been a darling of the world's media and was even awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. However, her medical work in Calcutta has been castigated for its poor practise, her funding was raised for the poor but redirected to 'missionary' work, she had questionable relationships with some of the most corrupt individuals of the 20th Century, and in order to produce Roman Catholic converts she taught the nuns under her to pretend to mop a dying patient's brow whilst secretly 'baptising' them – without any regard to the patient's wishes or religion. So corrupt were her practises that Channel 4 did a documentary on her called *Hell's Angel*.¹⁹

But for all her much-vaunted "sanctity" (in the eyes of others), she herself had no peace with God. She once said, "If I ever become a saint, I will surely be one of Darkness."²⁰ A statement of despair! What did she mean? Well, after her death, it was revealed from her private writings that for decades, she had felt that she had no relationship with God at all. She believed, in fact, that she would be "absent from heaven". Such words can mean only one thing – that she herself did not believe she would be accepted by God into heaven. But the postulator for her canonisation cause, priest Brian Kolodiejchuk, a member of her Missionaries of Charity, tried to explain such words away by saying the following: "I think it was Mother Teresa's 'mission statement' of what she will be doing when she, as she used to say, 'goes home to God.' From the letters that we discovered [after her death]... to the surprise, if not shock of everyone, even the sisters [nuns] closest to Mother Teresa, we discovered that her interior experience was what she called 'the Darkness' and that she is a woman passionately in love with Jesus."²¹

This is nothing but spin. She declared she would be a saint of "Darkness" if she was ever a saint in the Papist sense, and that she would be "absent from heaven" – yet this man says this was her "mission statement" of what she would be doing *in* heaven? She described her inward experience, ominously, as "the Darkness" – yet this man, in the same breath that he quotes these words of hers, says she was passionately in love with Jesus?

The priest went on to admit that her letters revealed that she felt unloved and unwanted by Jesus. Some of her letters were written directly to the false Roman Catholic "Jesus" (2 Cor. 11:4), describing her doubts about the strength of her faith and of whether this "Jesus" loved her or not. Now it is certainly the case that even *true* Christians experience times of great doubt and soul agony. The true child of God goes through periods of inward trials. But it turns out that these inward conflicts which Teresa experienced went on and on for many decades. She never had that peace which the truly justified have, described so beautifully in Rom. 5:1,2: "Therefore, being justified by faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ: by whom also we have access by faith into this grace wherein we stand, and rejoice in hope of the glory of God." As a Papist, she was a stranger to biblical justification by faith in Christ; and being a stranger to it, she had no peace with God, and could not rejoice in the blessed hope of the glory of God.

We find this to be the most tragic aspect of her life. Here was a woman being lauded by the blinded multitudes as the "greatest Christian" on earth – yet she herself had absolutely no such assurance. She felt entirely lost. She described her inward experience as "the Darkness". And what makes this so tragic is that she really was lost. She was a woman still dead in trespasses and sins. She had no saving knowledge of the true Lord Jesus Christ.

A Superstitious Old Lady Bound by Nature's Night

At this point we could do no better than to reproduce an excellent article, written in the early 1990s, by Peter Trumper, founder and editor of the magazine of the Vocal Protestants' International Fellowship, entitled *Startling News About Mother Teresa*. While we would not refer to her as *Mother Teresa*, this is what she was known as to the world, and the article exposes her tragically false gospel:

"Ask any passer by who he believes the world's greatest Christian is, and without thinking twice he

will say, 'Mother Teresa'. Needless to say, this pleases the Vatican and to prove it she was awarded the Pope John XXIII Peace Prize in 1971. Eight years later the world honoured her with the Nobel Peace prize, and a year after that India with its highest decoration the Bharat Ratna. This year she was invited to offer prayers on BBC TV (of course!) during 'Holy Week', although I thought every week is supposed to be holy.

"Well, glancing through the *Radio Times* which has the lady's face as its cover, my eyes lighted upon an article about her and her work which she founded in 1948, the Missionaries of Charity in Calcutta, who by the way by-pass Jesus (Matthew 11:28) and confess their sins to a Jesuit priest. Mother Teresa's ministry has received massive global media publicity, because it jolts international conscience in identifying with the poor living in squalor. No one can dispute that it is a good work – or is it?

"Do not misunderstand me, assisting the poor is a truly Christian act, we should all do more of it, but what is being taught these hapless people in the process of being helped and comforted? That is of vital importance and dared not be overlooked, for romantic sentiment unchecked by biblical truth is of more danger to sinners' souls than anything else. Lazarus, who sat at the rich man's gate 'full of sores' (Luke 16:20) was more in need of saving faith than charity despite his condition, as he would have been the first to acknowledge for he would not have wanted to spend eternity in hell with the rich man.

"So, what are Mother Teresa and her missionaries teaching India's poor...? Three fundamental matters. First, that the sacrifice of Christ requires constant repeating in the mass which we are informed she observes daily. In other words, she teaches the typical papist heresy that Christ's atoning blood was not fully satisfactory to the Father. Biblical truth sternly contradicts the mass (Hebrews 9:24-28), but Mother Teresa is not resting solely in Christ's atoning work for salvation, but rather it appears in her many 'good' works.

"Secondly, she evidently teaches that Christ's unique mediatorial work is not required, that not only Mary but even pagan gods can assist the dying. The story is told of Mother Teresa sheltering an old Hindu priest, and 'she nursed him with her own hands and helped him to die reconciled with his own gods' (*Radio Times*, 7-13 April 1990). How strange, Peter the supposed first pope (what nonsense!) once declared 'Neither is there salvation in any other, for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved'! (Acts 4:12). Mother Teresa's 'comfort', however, consisted of leaving the man to die in his darkness of soul. Jesus, whom the world assumes she is serving, has been rejected by her as she deliberately overlooks his precious teaching, 'no man cometh unto the Father, but by me' (John 14:6).

"Thirdly, although lack of space forbids further examination, she obviously knows little about prayer for no one ever truly prayed without a correct revelation about the Son of God's position at the right hand of the Throne above (Hebrews 1:3). In any case, the *Radio Times* cover exposes her pathetic ignorance as she clutches her pagan rosary beads, about which Jesus said, 'use not vain repetitions, as the heathen do, for they think that they shall be heard for their much speaking' (Matthew 6:7).

"Again, in quotations one notices she mentions God without reference to Christ, in other words she separates in her own mind the Father from the Son, which is not surprising in the light of her humanistic outlook. For example, note the titles of her 'Holy Week' prayers as advertised in the *Radio Times* –

- a) Prayers for hospitality;
- b) A thanksgiving for animals;
- c) A prayer for the disabled;
- d) A prayer for the prisoner;
- e) A prayer for someone dying.

"What pathos lies behind all this, for writing as one of the disabled, let me say Mother Teresa's 'prayers' are of no help because what she does not possess herself she cannot pass on to others. I want to hear prayers of thanksgiving about Christ,

- a) his perfect life of obedience;
- b) his fully-sufficient sacrifice for sin;

- c) the cleansing power of his blood;
- d) assurance of salvation;
- e) his resurrection, ascension and glorious return.

“These mighty truths constitute the gospel of the ‘God of all comfort’ (2 Cor. 1:3). Only after a Christ-exalting experience of salvation can others be prayed for and helped. In short, any Christian will appreciate in his heart that far from Mother Teresa being the world’s greatest Christian, she is not a Christian at all. At that, I can hear the entire world gasp!

“Trying to recover from the shock, at this point many will ask, ‘But if you are correct, why did Mother Teresa give her life to the poor and needy of India?’ The answer is known only to her and God. She has said that it was her ambition to be a nun from the tender age of twelve. Anyway one thing is certain, our grandchildren will hear her spoken of one day as ‘St Teresa of Calcutta’ [that day has now come! – *Shaun Willcock*], having been canonised by the Vatican, and perhaps that has always been her personal ambition. In which case, there will come a day when papists will pray to her and believe she is praying for them to Mary in heaven. Equally, they will believe they receive merit from doing so. There lies the danger of her present work, the teaching of error and the guiding of the blind, by the blind, into the eternal ditch (Matthew 15:14).

“Far from being the holy servant of God the world thinks, Mother Teresa is a superstitious old lady bound by nature’s night whose tragedy is reflected in the words of Jesus, ‘Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven.... Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not... in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity’ (Matthew 7:21-23). So, in Jesus’ sight ‘good’ works can be iniquitous because they are performed outside of divine grace. The BBC advert tells us, ‘Mother Teresa is going to pray for you’. Rather, this misguided lady desperately requires the prayers of God’s people that she might be saved.”²²

Alas, it is too late to pray for her salvation now. Unlike Papists, true Bible Christians do not pray for the dead, for their eternal destiny is sealed. But let us pray for the millions of spiritually blind Papists who will be praying to Teresa themselves, and for the millions of Hindus, Muslims and others who have been deceived into believing that the false religion of Roman Catholicism represents true Christianity, because of this woman.

Conclusion

As I wrote in *The Bible Based Ministries Magazine* at the time of her death in 1997:

“It evidently did not please the Lord to save her, even on her deathbed, and she died in the same darkness in which she lived: she died in her sins. The Bible says, ‘it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment’ (Heb. 9:27). All who die in their sins will spend eternity in hell. It is not by adherence to Roman Catholicism, or to any religion, that men can be saved, but only by faith in the Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of the living God, who alone is the way, the truth, and the life (Jn. 14:6). Reader: ‘It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God’ (Heb. 10:31). Do not, like Teresa, trust in your supposed ‘good’ works, or in your religious rites and ceremonies! Repent of your sins, and believe on the Lord Jesus Christ! Are *you* ready to meet Him when it comes time to die?”²³

September 2016

Shaun Willcock is a minister, author and researcher. He runs Bible Based Ministries. For other articles (which may be downloaded and printed), as well as details about his books, audio messages, pamphlets, etc., please visit the Bible Based Ministries website; or write to the address below. If you would like to be on Bible Based Ministries' email list, to receive all future articles, please send your details.

ENDNOTES:

1. *The Southern Cross*, article: "Mother Teresa: a Life of Mercy", August 31 to September 6, 2016.
 2. *Zenit.org*, article: "Not Since Francis of Assisi Has Someone Had Such an Echo Outside the Church", September 3, 2016.
 3. For evidence, the reader is referred to the recorded lectures entitled *Billy Graham: Serving the Papal Antichrist*, by Shaun Willcock (available in both MP3 and CD format from Bible Based Ministries).
 4. *The Bible Based Ministries Magazine*, April-November 1997, No. 84, pgs. 13-14. Published by Bible Based Ministries.
 5. *The Southern Cross*, article: "Do Something Beautiful for God", August 31 to September 6, 2016.
 6. "Mother Teresa: a Life of Mercy".
 7. *Rome Reports*, article: "Pope Francis Canonizes Mother Teresa of Calcutta", September 4, 2016. www.romereports.com.
 8. "Pope Francis Canonizes Mother Teresa of Calcutta".
 9. *Daily News*, article: "Mother Teresa Declared a Saint", September 5, 2016.
 10. Although the article is about prayer to dead popes, for a better understanding of Roman Catholic necromancy the reader is referred to the article, *Roman Catholic Necromancy: Praying to Dead Popes*, by Shaun Willcock (available on the Bible Based Ministries website as a free download).
 11. "Pope Francis Canonizes Mother Teresa of Calcutta".
 12. *Zenit.org*, article: "Mother Teresa's Legacy: Fulfillment is Found Only in Others", September 3, 2016.
 13. *Rome Reports*, article: "Sikh Indians Attend St. Teresa's Canonization Ceremony", September 5, 2016. www.romereports.com.
 14. *Zenit.org*, article: "Sr. Prema: 'Mother Teresa Was Icon of Unity'", 2 September 2016.
 15. "Mother Teresa's Legacy: Fulfillment is Found Only in Others".
 16. *Zenit.org*, article: "Sr. Prema: 'Mother Teresa Was Icon of Unity'", 2 September 2016.
 17. "Sr. Prema: 'Mother Teresa Was Icon of Unity'".
 18. *Zenit.org*, article: "It's Official: 'Saint' Mother Teresa", September 5, 2016.
 19. *The Reformer*, January/February 2016, article: "Francis Authorises Canonisation of 'Hell's Angel'", pgs.14-15. The Protestant Alliance, Bedford, England.
 20. "Not Since Francis of Assisi Has Someone Had Such an Echo Outside the Church".
 21. "Not Since Francis of Assisi Has Someone Had Such an Echo Outside the Church".
 22. *1521*, No. 9, 1990-2, article: "Startling News about Mother Teresa", pg.10. Vocal Protestants' International Fellowship, Holywell, Clwyd, Great Britain.
 23. *The Bible Based Ministries Magazine*, April-November 1997, No. 84, pg. 14. Published by Bible Based Ministries.
-

Bible Based Ministries

info@biblebasedministries.co.uk
www.biblebasedministries.co.uk

This article may be copied for free distribution if it is copied in full

WORLDWIDE CONTACT FOR BIBLE BASED MINISTRIES:

Contending for the Faith Ministries

695 Kentons Run Ave
Henderson, NV 89052
United States of America
BBMOrders@aol.com