

Drawing Aside the Purple Curtain

The Papal System Today: an Analysis of the News

The Papacy's Sinister "Green" Agenda

Francis I's Green Thumb-Sucking is Deceiving the World

by Shaun Willcock

In the present article I am analysing and exposing the radical environmentalist agenda of the Vatican of the Jesuit pope, Francis I. Space will not permit a detailed biblical refutation of the radical Green movement. For such a refutation, see my pamphlet entitled *The Green Goddess: Radical Environmentalism and "Mother Earth" Idolatry*.¹

This is also not an attack on *sensible* environmentalism. True conservation is very necessary. But as I wrote in *The Green Goddess*: "radical environmentalism is a different thing entirely! The fanatical belief that the world is approaching some kind of environmental apocalypse; that the human race is some kind of cancer on the earth; that there are too many people in the world and the numbers must be drastically culled, via abortion, sterilisation, etc.; that modern farming methods utilising highly industrialised techniques must be abandoned in favour of a return to a pre-industrial age; that "global warming" is real and man-made and threatening the end of the world; that every life form, no matter how dangerous it might be to man, deserves to be protected even while human beings should be aborted; that we should all get weepy over the culling of elephants or seals while millions of human babies are murdered in their mothers' wombs; that we should wear "Save the Planet" T-shirts yet think nothing of pushing a lethal needle into the arms of the elderly; and so much more along these lines. *This is radical environmentalism. This is the worship of the Green Goddess.*"

The present article is a lengthy one, but necessarily so, for the importance and significance of the Vatican's deep involvement in the radical environmentalist agenda cannot be over-emphasised. Great plots are afoot, massive social changes about which most are blissfully ignorant. The world is, literally, on the brink of a fundamental social, economical, environmental, political and religious shift, with grave consequences for all.

Green is the New Red

That the radical environmentalist movement is a Communist movement, aimed at achieving the goals of international Communism via a cleverly-controlled "Green" agenda, is evident when the facts are studied. But every now and then, one of those promoting the lie that "Green is the New Red" make it so blatantly obvious that only the wilfully blind will not thereafter acknowledge it. One such moment occurred in January 2014, when the United Nations' climate chief, Christiana Figueres, came right out and said that Communism is best for fighting "global warming"! She said that democracy is a poor political system for fighting it, and that Communist China offered the best model. Despite the fact that China is the world's top emitter of carbon dioxide, the country is "doing it right" with regards to fighting "global warming", Figueres said.²

The evidence tells a very different story, and if radical environmentalists were *really* interested in the ecological "health" of the earth, they would never point to Communism as the solution; for in truth, "communism's impact on the planet's ecology has proven consistently ghastly.... The statistics for East Germany alone tell a horrific tale: at the time of its reunification with West Germany an estimated 42 percent of moving water and 24 percent of still waters were so polluted that they could not be used to process drinking water, almost half of the country's lakes were considered dead or dying and unable to

sustain fish or other forms of life, and only one-third of industrial sewage along with half of domestic sewage received treatment.”³ Why is this? Why has Communism always been such a monumental failure when it comes to environmental protection? Here is one reason: “communism means an absence of property rights, having all been surrendered to ‘the people,’ which is to say the state. As that which belongs to everyone in fact belongs to no one, who is to be confronted over the factory sending toxic plumes into the sky which then descends on the cornfield, or the dumping of waste into the river plied by tourists on cruise boats? And who really owns the cornfield or the boats?” And here is another reason: “communism also simply cannot compete with capitalism in the production of wealth and technology, both of which greatly assist in addressing environmental problems.”⁴

The truth is that what radical environmentalists are interested in is *power*: absolute control of the global human population by the United Nations. And this *is* Communism! No wonder, then, that the UN’s climate chief pointed to Red China as a shining example of “doing it right”. And yet just how “right” was China getting it *even while she spoke*? To say “not very” would be a massive understatement. “Air pollution readings spiked across China’s capital Beijing... prompting residents to don air masks and offices and homes to put electric air purifiers on overdrive. Commuters across Beijing found themselves cloaked in a thick, gray haze as air pollution monitors across the city registered readings over 20 times the recommended exposure levels suggested by the World Health Organization (WHO).”⁵

Communism is *not* the answer to environmental degradation. Communism has *hugely contributed* to environmental degradation. But long ago the Reds realised that if they became Greens, they could achieve many of Communism’s goals by another means. And they are succeeding worldwide. The radical environmentalist movement is spearheading global Communism’s objective of world control, and of turning the masses into willing, obedient “sheeple” who will unquestioningly obey their masters, believing whatever they are told and all the time thinking it is “for their own good.”

Rome and Radical Environmentalism

The Vatican has aligned itself to the radical global environmentalist agenda. For decades it has been working hand in hand with Communism, so this is not surprising. And under Francis I it has massively accelerated its involvement in “Green” issues, all for the purpose of accumulating ever more power.

Rome even has a ready-made “saint” for environmentalism: Francis of Assisi, who centuries ago spoke of “Brother Sun” and “Sister Moon”, “Brother Fire” and “Sister Water”, and “Sister Earth”. Today he is called by Rome its “patron saint of ecology”.

Various modern popes, including Paul VI, John Paul II, and Benedict XVI, wrote and spoke about the “threats” to life posed by various supposedly impending global environmental disasters if mankind failed to wake up and “do something” to “save Mother Earth” (if the earth really is our “Mother”, if in fact she is divine, why can she not save herself?). But none more so than Francis I, the Jesuit pope. More about him and his major “Green” encyclical a little later. But let us first go back a few years, to see how Rome has been building momentum towards this key event.

Pontificating on “Global Warming”

Rome has been riding the radical environmentalist horse for years now, particularly the “global warming” lie. In 2011, the Vatican’s Pontifical Academy of Sciences released a report entitled “Fate of Mountain Glaciers in the Anthropocene”. This stated, “We call on all people and nations to recognise the serious and potentially irreversible impacts of global warming caused by the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases and other pollutants, and by changes in forests, wetlands, grasslands, and other land uses.” As always, the Jesuits are all over this issue; and Vatican spokesman and Jesuit priest, Federico Lombardi, said the report was “a significant scientific contribution to be valued in the context of the concerns about environmental problems often shown in recent magisterial documents and in the words of the Holy Father.”⁶ By the “Holy Father” he meant Benedict XVI, who

was at times quite vocal on environmental issues, causing him to be dubbed the “Green Pope”.

The report parroted the usual alarmist “facts” (not facts at all) and figures regarding so-called “climate change” caused by evil Man, and how this supposedly threatened the world population. All designed to frighten people the world over into “doing something”, and handing over more and more of their freedoms to the United Nations.

“Consultation on Climate Change”

Of course, the Vatican’s promotion of the myth of a “global warming” threat galvanised Roman Catholics the world over to “get involved”. In South Africa, for example, the Southern African Catholic Bishops’ Conference’s Justice and Peace Department convened a “Consultation on Climate Change” in Johannesburg in May 2011, for the purpose of getting the “Church” involved. The meeting paraded “experts” who issued dire warnings of what would happen if “something wasn’t done”.

Roman Catholic priest Albert Nolan spoke highly of the unproved, unprovable and unscientific theories of evolution and the “Big Bang”, and complained that the “Church” had become alienated from God and nature because of its “immature theology of creation”. And then he trotted out this little pearl of worldly wisdom, which could have dropped straight out of Francis of Assisi’s earth-worshipping heresy: “Learning to love all living beings, and adopting lifestyles which express our care for the environment, are part of our Christian faith and spirituality and our worship of God as the creator of all that exists.”⁷ All very nice and sweet sounding, but completely unbiblical. But considering that priest Nolan “concluded that our salvation lies in realising that we are not lords and masters with the right to dominate and exploit nature”,⁸ it is not at all surprising. Actually, “saving the planet” has nothing to do with our salvation, and all men outside of Christ would still be lost and hell-bound even if radical environmentalism succeeded in every one of its goals; and Gen. 1:28 explicitly contradicts this priest’s nature nonsense: “And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.” Certainly we must take care of the world the Lord has entrusted to us, but we are to have dominion over it and we do, and we are to (gasp!) exploit it – wisely – for our use.

It was proposed at this meeting that a “Feast of the Creation” should be included in the “Church’s” liturgical calendar, as well as an “Earth Charter” to be signed by all concerned Roman Catholics. Socialists and Marxists love charters and signatories to them, and everyone loves a feast; and so the worship of Gaia, the Earth Goddess of ancient paganism, proceeds apace in the false “Church” of Rome, with charters and signatories and food – and plenty of wine, beloved of Papists – flowing freely.

Most especially, the participants at this meeting wanted to raise awareness among Roman Catholics of –yes, you guessed it – “climate change”. The Greens’ favourite mantra.

Take from the Rich, Give to the Poor

Then there was an inter-religious movement called We Have Faith, led by African religious leaders including Romish cardinal, Wilfred Napier, which was pushing the same agenda ahead of COP17 in Durban: calling on governments to “reach an agreement to curb climate change in order to secure life in all its fullness for future generations”. Jo-Anne Smetherham, publicist for We Have Faith, claimed that “climate change” is the greatest threat humanity has ever faced! Just think about this statement, so sweeping in its stupidity. But she wasn’t done. Next came the usual statement advancing a Socialist solution: speaking of a petition from We Have Faith calling for targets to be set for carbon emission reductions and to ensure that there is adequate finance for adaptation in Africa, she said, “Such finance should come from historically polluting nations in recognition of their ecological debt and be additional to existing development aid, governed exclusively and equitably under the United Nations.”⁹ Yes, the UN will oversee the whole process of transferring funds from the rich to the poor in true Marxist fashion, to pay for a “debt” that is nothing but a myth. The iniquitous Vatican is now

championing the iniquitous United Nations as the global steamroller towards world government flattens all opposition in its way.

COP17

In November 2011 world leaders converged on Durban, South Africa, for the United Nations Conference on Climate Change (known as COP17); and the South African Roman Catholic institution joined forces with other religions and with radical environmentalists to call for action to combat “climate change”. There was the usual dire threat of imminent catastrophe if “something isn’t done”: Buti Tlhagale, Romish archbishop of Johannesburg, issued a pastoral letter for the Southern African Catholic Bishops’ Conference in which he said that the world and all of creation was now threatened by “climate change”! “This global climate crisis poses a great spiritual challenge to all Christians, people of all faiths and all people of goodwill,” he said, “as it is the consequence of the destruction of God’s creation in which we have all participated in one way or another. It calls for a change of mindset, and a change of lifestyle to reduce our dependence on fossil-fuel energy like coal and oil.”¹⁰

The world was being softened up to accept radical Socialist solutions to this invented “problem”, that will result in greater slavery for all to the “masters” who want to control everyone and everything: Tlhagale said individuals should link their efforts to “those of national governments and the United Nations to achieve the goal of lessening the carbon footprint (which is our contribution to carbon emissions) on the planet for our sake, for the sake of future generations and that of all living beings.” Whenever this lie is sold as being “for the children”, then people get all misty-eyed and subservient, willing to surrender more and more of their freedoms as they prostrate themselves at the feet of their governments and the UN, begging them to “save us – we’ll do anything you want!”

When the COP17 conference was held, religious leaders were in Durban in large numbers to support it, and they pulled out the big guns at their rally, such as Anglican archbishop, Desmond Tutu, who whined, “This [the earth] is the only home we have.”¹¹ Well, no, actually: for true Christians, heaven is their final and glorious home, and they live on this earth merely as strangers and pilgrims; and all the rest will find their next home in hell. But for the false shepherds assembled at COP17, “saving the earth” was far more important than salvation from sin, to which they were strangers anyway. They being creatures of this earth, earthly minded, it is no wonder that “saving the earth” was so important to them.

Oscar Rodriguez Maradiaga, a Romish cardinal from Honduras, led a delegation to COP17 and said: “Our climate is changing... This year we saw floods in Central America, South and Southeast Asia and drought across East Africa. Urgent action is necessary.”¹² Here’s some news for the good cardinal: East Africa has frequent droughts. Floods happen in South America and Asia all the time. Nothing new in all this. But alas, people are so gullible.

The Roman pope, Benedict XVI, had to have his say, of course, urging the international leaders meeting in Durban to reach a credible agreement on “climate change”, calling it a “worrisome and complex phenomenon” and, naturally, saying that “the needs of the poor and of future generations” needed to be kept in mind.¹³ As if the needs of the poor have ever been a priority for the Roman Catholic institution, the richest on the face of the earth (Rev. 18) whose pope is able to tog himself out in gold and silver, with his every whim catered for. Yes, even Francis who has conned the world into thinking he is a poor and humble man himself.

Francis I: Pushing Socialism/Marxism Disguised as Environmentalism

It was under the Jesuit Francis I, in particular, that the Vatican swung sharply to the left with regards to the international Socialist agenda making use of radical environmentalism to promote its one-world cause and control of the whole earth.

It was easy enough to see what was coming. Just three days after his election to the pontificate,

Francis told journalists that he had deliberately taken the name of Francis after the Roman Catholic “saint”, Francis of Assisi, because “Francis was a man of poverty, who loved and protected creation”.¹⁴ Of course, the present Francis is not a man of poverty at all, although he pretends to be and fools the gullible masses, for he is the pope of Rome, and poverty is not part of his job requirements. But the point to note here is that from the very outset of his reign, he made it clear that radical environmentalism would be at the very forefront of his pontificate.

He lost no time in building on that Green beginning. He was soon condemning “consumerism” and a “culture of waste” – music to the ears of every Red/Green on earth. A little later he said: “Let us be channels through which God can water the earth, protect all creation, and make justice and peace flourish.”¹⁵ Channels? Notice how this term is springing up all over the place? True Christians are not channels! To be a channel is a term taken out of spiritualism and the occultic New Age Movement. When Paul the apostle wrote, “I have planted, Apollos watered”, he was not meaning being “channels through which God can water the earth”, but of planting the seed of the Gospel and watering it! (1 Cor. 3:6).

Addressing the diplomatic corps accredited to the “Holy See” on 22 March 2013, Francis spoke of the importance of “protecting our environment, which all too often, instead of using for the good, we exploit greedily, to one another’s detriment”.¹⁶ Then on 21 May 2014, he said at a general audience at the Vatican that “the gift of knowledge helps us not to fall into attitudes of excess or error. The first lies in the risk of considering ourselves the masters of creation. Creation is not some possession that we can lord over for our own pleasure; nor, even less, is it the property of only some people, the few: creation is a gift, it is the marvellous gift that God has given us, so that we will take care of it and harness it for the benefit of all, always with great respect and gratitude.”¹⁷ Note the mixture of truth and error in these statements. Yes, the environment must be protected; but man *is* the master of creation. He was made so by God Himself in Gen. 1:28.

Francis’ secretary of state, the Romish cardinal Pietro Parolin, spoke at the United Nations Climate Change Summit in New York in September 2014, and in doing so he spoke of course for the pope of Rome himself. He repeated at times what Francis had said about the environment, and at other times he referenced the pope’s remarks, saying that Francis had underlined the importance of these matters since the beginning of his pontificate. Parolin had much to say about the threat of “global warming”, and the need for governments to “protect the world climate”, to “protect our planet and the human family”, etc. And ominously, playing right along with the One-Worlders, he called for “an authentic cultural shift” to take place: some kind of global culture change, which should in some way be foisted on the world’s peoples.¹⁸ All the usual radical environmentalist claptrap, but with undertones of Socialism/Marxism in a “Green” dress.

Then in November 2014, Francis addressed a group of Italian adult scouts, and said: “Our time cannot neglect the ecological question, which is vital for man’s survival, or reduce it to merely political questions.”¹⁹ Francis, being a false shepherd and the biblical Man of Sin (2 Thess. 2), is a stranger to the true Gospel, so he places great emphasis on the need to “care for creation” because this is “vital for man’s survival”; but the Bible declares that man is not going to live on this earth forever, and that the day is soon coming when, as an act of divine judgment, “the earth... and the works that are therein shall be burned up” (2 Pet. 3:10) when the Lord Jesus Christ returns. Bible prophecy does not in any sense indicate that man’s survival on earth will be terminated by a man-made global environmental catastrophe, but by the hand of God!

Francis went on to say that Christ’s “disciples” (by which he meant Roman Catholics) are called “to be united with all men of good will for the protection and defense of nature and the environment” because creation is a “gift entrusted to us from the hands of the Creator.” Roman Catholics are not Christ’s true disciples anyway; but what he said here was sucked out of the papal Green thumb: there is absolutely no mention of such a “calling” anywhere in God’s Word, so by what authority does Francis say this is what Christ’s disciples are called to do? By his own. The only authority he acknowledges.

Then in January 2015, during a meeting with ambassadors from around the world, Francis warned that the exploitation of the environment was a threat to peace.²⁰

The Vatican and International Communism Collaborate in Radical Environmentalism

The links at the very highest levels between the Roman Catholic institution, via Caritas Internationalis, the Vatican's primary social justice institution, and international Communism were exposed, not by an organisation at enmity with Rome, but by a Roman Catholic organisation itself, called the American Life League (ALL). This uncovered evidence of these high-level links between the Vatican and the World Social Forum, an international Marxist organisation. "The evidence suggests overt Marxist influence on the climate change movement that Pope Francis and his top advisors are now embracing."²¹ Indeed, the detailed report from ALL, containing original source material and many photographs, demonstrated that Caritas Internationalis was actually "*providing leadership*" to the World Social Forum. In other words, it is not Communism leading the way in this and Rome following, but the other way around!

Michael Hichborn, the author of the ALL report, stated: "This is a very serious problem. Given how intimately connected the World Social Forum (WSF) has been with the promotion of communism, abortion, and homosexuality since the very beginning, it's impossible to see how any Catholic can participate in it, or even speak positively about it, let alone have any involvement in its governance. But Caritas Internationalis does!"

This is what is so difficult for average Roman Catholics to understand: they have heard their priests, bishops, cardinals and popes condemn such things as Communism, abortion, sodomy, etc., through the years; they believe sincerely that their pope is the "Vicar of Christ" on earth, and that their priests are "other christs" on earth, holy men who will help them get to heaven; they are convinced that what their "Church" teaches is God's truth. Therefore, they simply cannot imagine that their pope or priests would ever deceive them, mislead them, or do anything underhand or in direct contradiction of their own doctrines and "moral stance". But this is because they fail to understand Jesuitism, and how the Jesuits operate and always have. They do not grasp that the Jesuits are a law unto themselves, and are permitted to bend or break any laws to get what they want. If it is for what they consider to be "the greater good", they will do it, readily stooping to any underhanded tactic, any violation of God's eternal, absolute law or the laws of men. And they believe that a strong alliance with international Marxism is the way forward for the Roman Catholic institution.

And Francis is a Jesuit pope.

The World Social Forum launched a Global Alliance for Tax Justice, stating: "Our vision entails progressive redistributive taxation policies that fund the vital public services, end inequality and poverty, address climate change and lead to sustainable development."²² A whole raft of the current buzz terms in one sentence: progressive (read Socialist whenever you see this one), redistributive (State theft via exorbitant taxation of the rich, and taking from the hard-working to give to the lazy), inequality and poverty (neither will ever be eradicated as long as the world lasts), climate change (see how this one ends up in such lists? – "we need to confiscate your money to save you from destroying the earth"), sustainable development (taking the world back to the Dark Ages).

The ALL report provided photos from Caritas events, which show open displays of Communist flags and banners, images of Lenin, Castro, Mao, etc. Yet far from being at all embarrassed about this, Caritas gloried in it openly on its website, stating: "Caritas has been involved in the WSF since its beginnings. Caritas believes it's an opportunity to exchange ideas and to build the momentum towards real change." The reason for such bold admission is not hard to find: Caritas well knows that the Vatican of Francis I is under Socialist-oriented Jesuitism, pushing for a Socialist/Marxist world and a world government. Why hide its true colours when the head of the world's over one billion Roman Catholics is openly working for such goals?

And the involvement of top Roman Catholic organisations does not stop with Caritas. ALL identified such Roman Catholic groups as Pax Christi, Center of Concern, Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur, Catholic Relief Services, and CIDSE, as all involved in the work of the World Social Forum as well.

Over the (Ki-)Moon: Vatican/UN Global “Green” Collaboration

Finally, Francis I declared that he would be issuing a papal encyclical dealing with the necessity of caring for the environment. A papal encyclical is the name given to a letter written by the Roman pope. The word is derived from a Greek word meaning a circle, thus a circular letter. It is used primarily for teaching. This encyclical would be entitled, *Laudato Si’* (Praised Be To You), reflecting the “Canticle of the Sun” by the Roman Catholic “saint”, Francis, a hymn of praise for the creation written in 1224 in which Francis warbled on about such pantheistic and utterly non-Christian concepts as “Brother Sun” and “Sister Moon”, turning him into the favourite Roman Catholic eco-hero of the modern Green movement.

The pope’s announcement that he would be writing this encyclical had an astounding effect on no other than the powerful United Nations secretary general, Ban Ki-moon. On 28 April 2015 he had a private meeting with Francis at the Vatican. The Vatican’s Pontifical Academy of Sciences was hosting an international symposium on “climate change” at the time, and Ban Ki-moon was there to address it. It was entitled “Protect the Earth, Dignify Humanity: The Moral Dimensions of Climate Change and Sustainable Development”.

When he met privately with Francis, Ban Ki-moon expressed his gratitude to him for accepting an invitation to address the United Nations in September 2015. According to Vatican spokesman, Federico Lombardi (a Jesuit like the pope), the UN secretary general “expressed his expectation for his [Francis’] address on that occasion and for his next encyclical”.²³ This meeting, for all with eyes to see, removed any doubt that the Vatican of Francis I was working hand in hand with the United Nations to achieve the one-world objectives of both. “Climate Change” is merely the excuse needed to advance world control from another angle, that of “saving the planet”. The UN is a world political body, and the Vatican is the most powerful religio-political body on earth. They may differ on various issues, but as the years have gone by the Vatican has made many inroads into the UN. Both are totalitarian, both believe in and promote a Socialist/Marxist world, and each one seeks to use the other to achieve its objectives. In addition, both know that they need the other, if they want to rule the world. And so this visit of the UN secretary general to the Roman pope was just another modern example of a world ruler “committing fornication” with the Great Whore that sits on the seven hills of Rome (Rev. 18:3). The Vatican has formed an alliance with the UN on the issue of “climate change”.

After his meeting with Francis, Ki-moon addressed the international symposium, telling participants that the meeting was “fruitful and wide-ranging”. He said, “I commend His Holiness, and all faith and scientific leaders here, for raising awareness of the urgent need to promote sustainable development and address climate change.” Calling “climate change” the “defining issue of our time”, he highlighted the importance of science and religion coming together to work on addressing it, saying: “Science and religion are not at odds on climate change. Indeed, they are fully alligned.”

Firstly, for a true Christian so-called “climate change” is certainly *not* the defining issue of our time! It should not be an issue at all, and only is so to those who are ignorant of the truth about it. But even if a true Christian has been misled to believe that “climate change” is a real threat, he would never call it the defining issue of our time. Secondly, Ki-moon’s statement that science and religion are “fully alligned” on “climate change”, showed just how far the false religions, as well as the false “churches”, have gone in succumbing to this lie, and promoting it. Those who are driving this radical environmentalist agenda know that for their plans to succeed, they have to have the support of religions and “churches”. And by Ki-moon’s own admission (and he should know!), they are now “fully alligned”. What an indictment on so-called “churches”!

And then Ki-moon said something else, which should cause alarm bells to go off in every true Christian's head: "Together," he said, "we must clearly communicate that the science of climate change is deep, sound and not in doubt."

In other words: *there can be no dissenting voices*. All the world must accept and parrot the Green mantra. This despite the fact that there is *no* true "science of climate change", and that a great many scientists the world over strongly dispute the claims of radical environmentalists, and thus it most certainly *is* in doubt. But their reasoned arguments are being drowned out by the emerging Green Gestapo, who will tolerate no dissent. There have even been calls, from some quarters of the radical environmentalist movement, for "climate change" deniers to be jailed or even sentenced to death!²⁴

Ban Ki-moon concluded his address to the international symposium by saying that he "looks forward to the upcoming encyclical by Pope Francis." As secretary general of the world body, the fact that he was looking forward to it meant that many of the world leaders were looking forward to it as well. Truly, the Jesuit pope has wheedled his way into the hearts of all kinds of people, and is beginning to speak as the true world authority, to whom the rulers of the earth are paying respectful heed.

It is not at all surprising that the UN head was over the moon about the impending encyclical; for a few months before, the Vatican had already announced that Francis' intention was to have it published before a UN-sponsored agreement on Sustainable Development Goals and a UN summit on "climate change" to take place in Paris later in 2015.²⁵ This papal encyclical, then, was going to do wonders to promote the UN's agenda at these meetings! It was all a deliberate set-up; it was all about timing. I scratch your back and you scratch mine. The Great Whore once again committing fornication with the kings of the earth (Rev. 17:2), to the advantage of both.

Veiled Marxism: "World Transformation"

The Vatican symposium released a statement which was nothing but veiled Marxism. It said: "Climate-change mitigation will require a rapid world transformation to a world powered by renewable and other low-carbon energy and the sustainable management of ecosystems. These transformations should be carried out in the context of globally agreed Sustainable Development Goals, consistent with ending extreme poverty; ensuring universal access for healthcare, quality education, safe water, and sustainable energy; and co-operating to end human trafficking and all forms of modern slavery."²⁶

Note the words: "will require a rapid world transformation". Note the Red/Green buzzwords: transformation, renewable, sustainable. Note the insistence on "globally agreed Sustainable Development Goals" – that is, a world body calling the shots. Note the reference to "universal access for healthcare, quality education", etc. And all of this nicely phrased so that "ending extreme poverty" is part of the goal. Yes, this is "nice" Marxism, cleverly repackaged to con the gullible. And it is working! Millions upon millions have been conned into supporting it all.

Francis Criticised by Scientists Who Reject "Climate Change"

Meanwhile, before Francis released his encyclical, so eagerly awaited by the Greens/Reds of the world, he was heavily criticised by scientists who rejected the myth of "climate change". They accused him of being deeply ill-informed about "global warming"; of being fed mistaken advice from the UN. Jim Lakely of the Heartland Institute, a conservative American group that rejects the "climate change" myth, said: "The pope would make a grave mistake if he put his moral authority behind scientists saying that climate change is a threat to the world. Many scientists have concluded that human activity is a minor player." And Lord Christopher Monckton, a leading sceptic of "climate change", said: "My message to the pope would be, don't take sides on the science. Don't make the same mistake as seven out of 10 judges in the trial of Galileo, when they invited him to retract his views (that the earth orbits around the sun rather than the other way around)."²⁷ He said the UN was falsifying the data on supposed "climate change" (he was correct).

These scientists must be commended for trying to make the world see the truth about supposed “climate change”. But they were naive to think that Francis was being gullibly led by the nose. Francis knew *exactly* what he was doing! He, like other world leaders, well knew that “global warming” is *not* a real threat to the planet. But it *is* a very convenient method of grabbing ever more control over the earth for the select few at the top – and this he knew well, too. It is all about power – and Francis, the Jesuit, wants more and more of it.

Predictably, the radical leftists branded the message of these scientists as “extraordinarily dangerous”.

The Anti-Capitalist, Pro-Communist Nature of Vatican Environmentalism

Just a month before the encyclical’s release, a cardinal friend of Francis, Oscar Rodriguez, became the latest to give the game away to all who were paying attention. Unfortunately, most were not. He said that the ideology around the environment is too connected with Capitalism, and that Capitalists would not want to give up their profits! And so supremely confident was he that the world would accept the encyclical with open arms that he reportedly laughed at those who criticised the document before its release, saying it would be a “great shock” that would make everyone think.²⁸

There were other clues as well, but again, most were not paying attention. For example, a conference at the Vatican sponsored by Caritas Internationalis featured one Jeffrey Sachs, a Columbian University professor and special advisor to UN head Ban Ki-moon. Sachs, a man who advocates the Marxist concept of global taxes to fund a world government, wrote an article for the American Jesuit publication, *America*, in which he suggested that America’s founding document was outdated and incompatible with Roman Catholic social justice teaching. He advocates the radical environmentalist agenda for the purpose of achieving a world government.²⁹

And so once again we were provided with solid evidence of Rome’s anti-Capitalist, pro-Communist, pro-UN, pro-world government objective. Yet so few saw it, because so few wanted to. The world has been indoctrinated. It has believed the lie. And now most of the world is convinced it *needs* world Socialism/Marxism to prevent self-destruction.

Francis’ Radical Environmentalist Statements

Just days before the publication of the papal encyclical, a priest named Thomas Rosica, English language assistant to the Vatican Press Office, offered some clarification and context for it. He said: “Since his election in March 2013, Pope Francis has very frequently shown concern for the environment, following the example of Benedict XVI who was sometimes labeled the first ‘Green Pope’.... From the beginning of his Petrine Ministry, Pope Francis made it clear that his choice of his papal name after St. Francis of Assisi, patron saint of ecology was indicative of his concern for the environment.”³⁰ And then he went on to list the occasions when Francis had spoken on this theme since his election, such as the following:

In his inaugural mass homily, he called on everyone to be “protectors of creation, protectors of God’s plan inscribed in nature, protectors of one another and of the environment”;

On June 5, 2013 – so-called World Environment Day – he spoke of the need to “cultivate and care” for the environment, saying it is part of God’s plan that man “nurture[s] the world with responsibility”, transforming it into a “garden, a habitable place for everyone” (actually, there is nothing in Scripture about this being God’s plan for man);

On January 13, 2014, addressing the diplomatic corps accredited to the “Holy See” as noted above, he warned against “greedy exploitation of environmental resources” (even though it is those elite and powerful men at the top, seeking world government, who are the true “greedy exploiters”!).

The Buddhist “Pope” Has His Say, Too

As the official date of publication approached, excitement mounted worldwide among Reds, Greens, One-Worlders, elites, and all their fellow-travellers. The Buddhist spiritual leader known as the Dalai

Lama, an old friend of the Papacy, jumped on the bandwagon, tweeting to 11.2 million people: “Since climate change and the global economy now affect us all, we have to develop a sense of the oneness of humanity.”³¹ Where did *that* come from? That “humanity is one” mantra? What has that to do with supposed “climate change”? Actually, it has everything to do with it, it is in fact the very point of all this “We’re wrecking the earth” nonsense: that unless the world stands together and submits to the United Nations (and to the Vatican), signing away its freedoms and national sovereignties, we are all doomed to extinction from a man-made climate catastrophe. Only the elites at the top can save us! Submit now, or perish under rising sea waters caused by melting Antarctic glaciers!

Francis: Welcome My Encyclical, World

Just a day before the actual release of the encyclical, Francis, supremely confident that he was in step with the Socialist/Marxist agenda so dominant in the world today, invited all people to welcome his encyclical on the environment. His words were: “Tomorrow, as you know, the encyclical on the care of the ‘common home’ that is creation will be published. This common ‘home’ is being ruined and this hurts everyone, especially the most poor. Therefore, I would like to launch an appeal to responsibility, based on the task which God gave to man in creation: ‘to cultivate and protect’ the ‘garden’ in which humanity has been placed. I invite all to welcome with an open spirit this document, which places itself in the line of the Church’s social doctrine.”³²

What heights of arrogance! Imagine being so haughty, considering yourself to be so above all other men, that you could stand up and say to the world: What I have written needs to be welcomed and accepted by all mankind, and it would be irresponsible of mankind to reject it. And this, by the way, enforced by an appeal to man’s task of cultivating and protecting the “garden”: a reference to the garden of Eden, which, considering Roman Catholicism accepts evolution and rejects biblical creation, is all a myth anyway as far as Rome is concerned. At least he had the decency to speak of the “garden” in quotation marks, showing he does not believe there ever was one.

This concept, that the encyclical should be viewed as non-negotiable, absolutely true and correct and to be obeyed, was reinforced by an anonymous source in the Vatican who said the idea behind the document is to call everyone to action; that *no one is excluded*. “No one should be able to say: I have a clean conscience because it’s not addressed to me.”³³ Read those words again, and read in them the heights of Popish arrogance.

To the Antichrist we say: why must the world welcome that which has not been proved, that which is based on junk science, that of which you yourself have no true knowledge, that which is designed to push the world towards global government and the loss of what few freedoms still remain?

And Finally... The Encyclical is Released

Finally, on June 18, 2015, the long-awaited papal encyclical, *Laudato Si’: On the Care for Our Common Home*, was published, to the delight of Greens, Reds, Socialists, globalists, radicals, leftist activists and fellow travellers worldwide. The Jesuit pope’s Jesuit spokesman, Federico Lombardi, director of the Vatican’s Press Office, spoke the truth when he said at the official presentation of the encyclical: “I have seen countless documents published but very rarely have I seen such a broad and global wait for a document.”³⁴ Perhaps more than anything else he had said, written or done, this encyclical placed Francis I at the very forefront of the globalists’ affections. He was one of their own, and they loved him for it.

Lombardi went on to say, “On this day, we feel that the Universal Church is united to the Pope and conveying to the world a message of responsibility.” He was right again, except that the entire left-leaning world, and not just the diabolical “universal Church” of Rome, was united with the pope. As stated by a cardinal named Peter Turkson at the presentation, the encyclical “brings into dialogue all people, organizations and institutions that share this same concern.”³⁵ Indeed, the encyclical was, significantly, addressed to “every person on the planet”.³⁶

This, after all, was Rome's desire: to place itself at the very forefront of global "leadership" relating to the environment. In every possible way, the city on seven hills is positioning itself as world leader on all matters, not only spiritual but environmental, political, economic, social. Under Francis, the Jesuits of Rome are aggressively taking back ground lost in recent decades, and advancing on all fronts to even greater power and world authority than ever before.

With their usual devilish craftiness, this immense power grab was denied by Francis' lackeys. Turkson the cardinal said that the document does not set out to intervene in the climate change debate (he could have fooled us!), which "was the responsibility of scientists". However, he said that the Roman Catholic "Church" had a "serious moral responsibility to do everything in our power to reduce our impact and avoid the negative effects on the environment and on the poor." Note the subtlety: referring to it as a "debate" indicates it is still under discussion, yet saying the "Church" has a "serious moral responsibility" to do whatever it can for the environment makes it clear that as far as Rome is concerned there is nothing to debate at all, "climate change" is a reality and the whole world population must "do something". Note the Jesuitical subtlety: Francis is not a scientist and therefore will not intervene – said with a straight face even as Francis had *most directly* intervened.

There was also a decided ecumenical angle to the release of the encyclical, which was acknowledged by an Eastern Orthodox metropolitan, John Zizioulas, who was present at the official launch and who spoke on behalf of the Ecumenical Patriarchate and the Orthodox institution. He praised its publication, expressed gratitude to Francis for drawing attention to "protect God's creation from the damage we humans inflict on it with our behaviour towards nature", and said that the encyclical was not limited to the subject of ecology but also had an important "ecumenical dimension" that united all "Christians" in a common task. "Pope Francis' encyclical is a call to unity – unity in prayer for the environment, in the same Gospel of creation, in the conversion of our hearts and our lifestyles to respect and love everyone and everything given to us by God."³⁷ We have never read in the Bible anything about any of this: that calling for the "protection of creation" is somehow a call to "Christian unity"; that prayer should be offered for the environment; that the Gospel is the "Gospel of creation"; that conversion means converting to the Green cause instead of being converted to Christ; etc. But then, the *true* Gospel of the *grace of God* (not the "Gospel of creation" myth!) is something about which both the Roman Catholic and the Eastern Orthodox institutions are completely ignorant, for they are utterly false religions.

Another man to speak at the official launch of the encyclical was a Professor Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, founder and director of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research; and he focused on the pro-Communist, anti-Capitalist aspect of the encyclical. He said: "It's not poverty that destroys the environment. It is wealth, consumption and waste. And this is reflected in the encyclical."³⁸ This is blatantly false. The most polluted, damaged parts of the environment are always those where there is very high poverty. Communism creates poverty, and a corresponding degradation of the environment. This is seen in China and other Marxist countries today, as well as in the old USSR and the European Eastern-bloc countries. When the living standards of a country or society rise, pollution and environmental damage are greatly lessened. The good professor's comments fit nicely into the anti-Capitalist agenda of the Vatican, the UN, etc. But they are not based on true science; true facts.

A Brief Summary of the Encyclical

What follows is a brief summary of the 184-page encyclical.³⁹

It focuses on the idea of "integral ecology", by which is meant connecting "care for creation" with justice for the poorest and most vulnerable people. In putting it like this, the pope grants himself the moral high ground, for much radical environmentalism involves an actual hatred for mankind; a desire to drastically reduce the earth's population (via abortion, promotion of sodomite practices, even large-

scale genocide, etc.) so as to “save the planet”; but the pope makes himself look good by claiming to integrate “caring for creation” with “caring for the poor”. Communism has never had any concern for the poor, and nor has Romanism in truth, through the centuries; but how loving and caring Francis appears when he combines these two ideas.

Francis begins the encyclical by noting how his namesake, the so-called “saint” Francis of Assisi, supposedly cared for the world like a sister and a mother, and then says, “this sister now cries out to us because of the harm we have inflicted on her by our irresponsible use and abuse of the goods with which God has endowed her”. There is no basis for this ridiculous statement, of course, just as there is nothing in all of God’s Word about the earth being our sister or mother. It is all heathen pantheistic garbage. He goes on: “We have come to see ourselves as her lords and masters, entitled to plunder her at will”. Actually, we *do have* God-given dominion over the earth, but Francis would not of course bring up this inconvenient truth (Gen. 1:26-30). “The violence present in our hearts, wounded by sin, is also reflected in the symptoms of sickness evident in the soil, in the water, in the air and in all forms of life”, he goes on. These words would warm the heart of any radical Green lashing himself to a tree in front of loggers’ chainsaws. The *biblical* truth, however, is not that our hearts’ violence somehow reveals itself in nature’s “sickness”, but that because man sinned at the beginning, *God* cursed the earth (Gen. 3:17-19). And here is the thing about this curse: it is in force till the world ends. The earth is not “sick”, as if it is a living goddess as many modern-day Gaia-worshippers claim; it is divinely cursed! It is not the “very good” thing it was when it was first created by God (Gen. 1:31). *Man* will not destroy it; *God* has cursed it, and *He* will destroy it Himself on the last day!

In Chapter 1 of the encyclical, Francis sets out what he states to be the most serious challenges facing earth. He begins with pollution, waste and a throwaway mentality, saying, “the earth, our home, is beginning to look more and more like an immense pile of filth”. But this is not true. Yes, there are parts of the earth which are terribly polluted, no doubt about it; but saying the whole world is so is the typical exaggeration which environmental activists love to indulge in, because it produces a knee-jerk response from the gullible – usually in the form of digging ever deeper into their pockets.

He then speaks of “climate change” as “one of the principal challenges facing humanity in our day”. Again, this is an outright lie. It is a lie being peddled by governments, elites, Marxists and others the world over, to be sure; but that does not make it any less of a lie. There is simply *no true* “climate change” catastrophe waiting to happen unless mankind quickly “does something”. Reams of evidence are available, for those willing to look beyond the politically-correct parroted falsehoods of environmental doomsday prophets.

He speaks also of the disappearance of “thousands of plant and animal species” every year, saying that “all of us, as living creatures, are dependent on one another”. This, to put it bluntly, is the “all is one” nonsense of heathenism. Mankind depends on various animals and plants for food, but not all of them, and this myth of the “interconnectedness of all life” is a lie born in Gaia-idolatry, Hinduism, New Age mysticism, and ancient pantheism.

He then speaks in a way somewhat counter to the usual radical environmentalist mantra, saying that the solution is not in reducing the birth rate, later on actually stating that environmental concern is “incompatible with the justification of abortion”, but that the solution lies in counteracting “an extreme and selective consumerism”. Ah: so although at first he parrots the Roman Catholic institution’s official stance against birth control, he then proceeds to condemn “consumerism”, by which he always means Capitalism or rather free-market policies as opposed to Communist policies and solutions (which he believes in).

In Chapter 3, Francis gets into what he considers to be the root causes of the “crises” he has listed.

He fires his first shot at technology itself, admitting that it has its uses but needs a “sound ethics” or otherwise it gives “those with the knowledge, and especially the economic resources... an impressive dominance over the whole of humanity”. There he goes again – attacking the more advanced societies.

And besides, *whose* “sound ethics” would he like the world to follow? No need to guess the answer to that one.

He then writes: “the economy accepts every advance in technology with a view to profit... yet by itself the market cannot guarantee integral human development and social inclusion”. Although there is truth in this, there are three faults in what he says. First, it is not “the market’s” place to do these things, it is individuals who act according to their morality or lack thereof – the fault is not in the market, but in sinful human beings. Second, Communism cannot do any better than the free market and in fact does almost infinitely worse. Third, it is precisely the hope of profit that prevents men from destroying what they or others have. If there is profit to be made from something, they preserve it. This is fundamental. Where there is no profit to something, people could not care less about it. It is not the State that can best protect the environment, but rather people, private individuals and institutions, with a vested interest in doing so. Again, plenty of documented evidence is available for those wanting it. Sadly, most do not.

Francis, in fact, actually admits this point later on when he writes that environmental degradation and social decay are the result of seeing “everything as irrelevant unless it serves one’s own immediate interests”. But this is true: when a man is dirt-poor and every day is a struggle just to survive, what does he care about preserving his environment? But if he can see that doing so actually benefits him in some way, uplifts him, then he will do so. This is why Communism falls flat, and why the free market succeeds.

Francis then offers various “solutions” to the mythical “problems” he has listed:

He sees one “solution” in “The Gospel of Creation” (there is that expression again, which does not exist in God’s Word), stating in Chapter 2 that human life is grounded in our relationships with God, our neighbours and creation itself. All very nice-sounding; but the god of Roman Catholicism is not the true God! Nor is the god of Islam, the gods of Hinduism, etc. As a Papist he is speaking of the Roman Catholic god. Besides, for all his references to creation, he states in this very encyclical that the creation account in the Bible is merely symbolic, and thus not a real account of real events.

He states that sin is the breaking of man’s relationship with God, his neighbour and with the creation itself. Well, although one might be tempted to think two out of three ain’t bad, in truth a miss is as good as a mile. Sin is the transgression of God’s law (1 Jn. 3:4), and the eternal, absolute law of God is that man must love God with all his being and love his neighbour as himself (Matt. 22:36-40); but man breaking his relationship with creation? This is Francis’ own imagination at work. It is a pope yet again doing what popes have done countless times before, which is adding to God’s Word, for Antichrist has always thought to change God’s laws (Dan. 7:25). It shows that Francis has no true knowledge of what sin is, or of the God against whom he and all men have transgressed.

He sees another “solution” in “integral ecology” (see above), in Chapter 4. In analysing this “solution” he uses the popular liberation theology (Catholic-Communist) expression, the “preferential option for the poorest”. Reverting to his beloved Marxist themes in another “solution”, that of “dialogue”, he says this is necessary “so that particular interests or ideologies will not prejudice the common good”. That phrase, “the common good”, pops up frequently in papal documents and others in these times, and is pure Marxism. And note the Jesuitical condemnation of “ideologies” that “prejudice the common good”, even while he himself is peddling a very particular prejudicial ideology, that of Marxism!

Yet another Francis “solution”, given in Chapter 6: urging schools, families, the media and the churches to reshape attitudes and behaviour! Yes, *he has called for these institutions to become agents for massive societal and social change*. Individualism needs to be overcome, he says. Well, what would replace individualism? Statism! Once again, Marxism wearing a religious face.

Another “solution” from Chapter 6: holding up the Romish “saint”, Francis of Assisi, as the model of “a more passionate concern for the protection of our world”. Do not make the mistake of underestimating the powerful symbolism of a role model. Rome has always made great use of

symbols, and Francis of Assisi at the centre of Rome's global Green/Red agenda is an incredibly powerful rallying figure. It gives a human face to an otherwise faceless global movement, a focal point in an actual historical human being, and also thereby adds a very powerful religious element to the entire radical environmentalist agenda which gives the Vatican a huge advantage in the international Green movement.

And lastly, one more religious "solution" is put forward, from Chapter 6 and the two concluding prayers: the Roman Catholic sacraments, the Trinity (Romish version of course), the model of the so-called "Holy Family", and hope for eternal life, can (according to Francis) teach and strengthen us to protect the creation. All this he pulls out of his Green thumb, of course, because none of this is biblical. But who is to know? Certainly not the world's 1.2 billion Papists, and certainly not the rest of the heathen world either.

Praise for the Pope and His Encyclical

The new papal encyclical was immediately welcomed by many, and will be welcomed by many more in the future.

Less than an hour after its release, Kofi Annan, former secretary general of the United Nations, applauded the pope for his strong "moral and ethical leadership".⁴⁰ His praise would be followed by that of many others in the days ahead.

The Roman Catholic organisation, Caritas Internationalis, was dealt with above, because of its open and very willing involvement with a radical Marxist organisation, the World Social Forum. Caritas is, itself, Marxist in its analysis of issues, and in its approach. As soon as the encyclical was released, the president of Caritas, a cardinal named Luis Antonio Tagle of Manila, Philippines, said Francis had set out a vision for re-balancing the inequalities between rich and poor. This high-ranking "prince of the Church" had no doubt what Francis was actually calling for in the document: the pope, he said, was calling on the world "to change the economic structures that have caused so much harm and regain our responsibility for others and the world."⁴¹ *Changing the economic structures*: this is Socialism/Marxism. Unable to contain his glee, he added that the encyclical "will inspire the work of Caritas organisations for years to come." Let none then doubt what this is all about.

Conclusion

Another to immediately praise the document upon its release was Dr Carolyn Woo, CEO and president of Catholic Relief Services (CRS); and she made some *very* revealing statements, exposing the real purpose behind the encyclical to all with eyes to see. CRS is part of Caritas Internationalis, and is the official international humanitarian agency of the United States Roman Catholic community. She was candid about the timing of the encyclical's release. She said: "There are a number of important upcoming meetings: the UN in September, G7 and G8, the Paris conference. *I think the pope wants to influence the thinking of these big bodies.*"⁴² Yes, this is precisely what he wants. He is positioning himself as the man of authority and wisdom in the world, the man to whom even the United Nations should look for guidance. *He is positioning himself as the greatest world leader.*

She then said that this encyclical will have a great impact in the world, upon those who do not really believe in a man-made "climate crisis". "*I think this encyclical with the pope's credibility will help both Catholics and non-Catholics to really say a lot. It is the credibility of the pope.*" They are really giving way, aren't they? The internationalists, the globalists, those wanting to rule the world, have recognised that no man on earth has the credibility and authority of the Roman pope, Francis I. There is no political or religious leader to equal him. He holds sway over 1.2 billion Papists, and huge influence over billions more of the world's population.

Suddenly, it hardly matters to the UN, or to other international bodies, what the pope's priests are doing to boys, girls and women throughout the world via their secret confessionals and horrific sexual abuses; in Francis I they have a pope on their side in pushing the One World agenda. They will readily

overlook all kinds of Papist crimes, if in the end they get their way: world control.

But also: what many of them fail to realise is that this papal support for their cause does not come for free. The Papacy demands something in return. And what is that? Nothing less than to be the most powerful, most important religious and political figure on the planet. All of this is simply the latest in the Papacy's age-old push for world conquest.

The Jesuits are truly, right now, riding the crest of a wave of popularity and influence that is infinitely more real and dangerous than the mythical waves of supposedly warming and rising oceans.

The papal encyclical on ecology is another solid evidence of the powerful, global working partnership between the Great Whore and the United Nations. An alliance of mutual benefit, based on a mythical "threat", that is quite literally the *real* threat to the whole world.

June 2015

Shaun Willcock is a minister of the Gospel. He runs Bible Based Ministries. For other articles (which may be downloaded and printed), as well as details about his books, audio messages, pamphlets, etc., please visit the Bible Based Ministries website; or write to the address below. If you would like to be on Bible Based Ministries' email list, to receive all future articles, please send your details.

ENDNOTES:

1. *The Green Goddess: Radical Environmentalism and "Mother Earth" Idolatry*, by Shaun Willcock. Bible Based Ministries. www.biblebasedministries.co.uk.
2. "UN Climate Chief Declares Communism Best for Fighting Global Warming." Source: Colin Grabow of "The Federalist". <http://hotair.com>, January 16, 2014.
3. As quoted in "UN Climate Chief Declares Communism Best for Fighting Global Warming." <http://hotair.com>, January 16, 2014.
4. As quoted in "UN Climate Chief Declares Communism Best for Fighting Global Warming." <http://hotair.com>, January 16, 2014.
5. "UN Climate Chief Declares Communism Best for Fighting Global Warming." Source: Colin Grabow of "The Federalist". <http://hotair.com>, January 16, 2014.
6. "Vatican Plan to Save the Earth." *The Southern Cross*, May 18 to 24, 2011.
7. "Why Being Green is to Worship God." *The Southern Cross*, May 25 to 31, 2011.
8. "Why being Green is to Worship God." *The Southern Cross*, May 25 to 31, 2011.
9. "Bishops: All Have Job to Do in Fixing Climate Crisis." *The Southern Cross*, November 23 to 29, 2011.
10. "Bishops: All Have Job to Do in Fixing Climate Crisis." *The Southern Cross*, November 23 to 29, 2011.
11. "Disappointing Turnout for COP17 Faith Rally." *The Southern Cross*, December 7 to 13, 2011.
12. "Pope to World Leaders: No Cop Out on Climate Change." *The Southern Cross*, December 7 to 13, 2011.
13. "Pope to World Leaders: No Cop Out on Climate Change." *The Southern Cross*, December 7 to 13, 2011.
14. *The Southern Cross*, May 21 to 27, 2014. Editorial.
15. *The Southern Cross*, May 21 to 27, 2014. Editorial.
16. See "Pope's Secretary of State Addresses UN Climate Change Summit." *Zenit.org*, September 24, 2014.
17. "Pope's Secretary of State Addresses UN Climate Change Summit." *Zenit.org*, September 24, 2014.

-
18. "Pope's Secretary of State Addresses UN Climate Change Summit." *Zenit.org*, September 24, 2014.
 19. "Pope: No One Can be Disinterested in the Question of Caring for Creation." *Zenit.org*, November 10, 2014.
 20. "Un Secretary General Ban Ki-moon Meets Privately with Pope Francis." *Zenit.org*, April 28, 2015.
 21. "Catholic Group Exposes Red Influence in the Vatican." *NewsWithViews.com*, May 28, 2015.
 22. "Catholic Group Exposes Red Influence in the Vatican." *NewsWithViews.com*, May 28, 2015.
 23. "Un Secretary General Ban Ki-moon Meets Privately with Pope Francis." *Zenit.org*, April 28, 2015.
 24. See *NewsWithViews.com*, April 10, 2014; and *WND*, March 23, 2015.
 25. "Pope Francis Enters Climate Change Maelstrom." *Daily Maverick*, 17 June 2015.
 26. "'Climate Change' Worries Obama, Pope More than ISIS." *WND*, May 23, 2015.
 27. "Pope Attacked by Climate Change Sceptics." *The Telegraph*, 28 April 2015.
 28. "Pope Francis Enters Climate Change Maelstrom." *Daily Maverick*, 17 June 2015.
 29. "Catholic Group Exposes Red Influence in the Vatican." *NewsWithViews.com*, May 28, 2015.
 30. "Awaiting the Ecology Encyclical: Some Context." *Zenit.org*, June 4, 2015.
 31. "Pope Francis Enters Climate Change Maelstrom." *Daily Maverick*, 17 June 2015.
 32. "Pope Invites All to Welcome Encyclical with an 'Open Spirit'". *Zenit.org*, June 17, 2015.
 33. "Pope Francis Enters Climate Change Maelstrom." *Daily Maverick*, 17 June 2015.
 34. "Vatican Officially Presents 'Laudato Si'". *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 35. "Vatican Officially Presents 'Laudato Si'". *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 36. "Op-Ed: The Pope Calls on Humanity to Protect the Earth." *Daily Maverick*, 19 June 2015.
 37. "Vatican Officially Presents 'Laudato Si'". *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 38. "Vatican Officially Presents 'Laudato Si'". *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 39. "'Laudato Si', an Overview." *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015. Also "A Rational Thought and a Moral Imperative on Climate Change." *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 40. "Op-Ed: The Pope Calls on Humanity to Protect the Earth." *Daily Maverick*, 19 June 2015.
 41. "New Caritas President Says Encyclical Will Inspire Agency's Work for Years to Come." *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
 42. "Catholic Relief Services President on Pope's Encyclical: Francis is Pointing Out Where We Went Wrong, Offering Solutions." *Zenit.org*, June 18, 2015.
-

Bible Based Ministries

info@biblebasedministries.co.uk
www.biblebasedministries.co.uk

This article may be copied for free distribution if it is copied in full

WORLDWIDE CONTACT FOR BIBLE BASED INISTRIES:

Contending for the Faith Ministries

42055 Crestland Drive
Lancaster, CA 93536
United States of America
BBMOrders@aol.com